-,	A 3° middle Rivbuct of Toll.
,	REFERED Civil # 3:23 - CV-00081
	D.A. N. V. Trinity Services Group Et. Alo
	JUL 2 7 2023  Response To Evin Palmer Polly
	Middle District of TN Overvula objection
₩.	anormy Pollers arguement (A) in support under Fed. R. of Civil la
	15(A)(1) is frivolous, plaintiffs I amended complaint was filled
	believe any yearty was served on 6-28-23
*	Thus according no this same well to may amend my stending
1	once or a matter of course within 21 days of sorring it which
· ·	I have done, you an all parter's aware properties served
	on 6-28-23 my complaint was arrended within that I day
5.77	time frame son Rules 12(B) (E), or (F) Foil R. Cin. P. 15(a)(1);
	South hall ve USF Holland, LIC, 2000 WIL 12948058, at 1 (M.D. Tenn. Apr. 1),
in the second	2000)
- 18	I did not have to seek wer the parties consent, there original
	Reseases to my original complaint complaint Filed on 5-22-23
	199.2. attorney Rolly assents Svence, was not server now could
<u> </u>	I prove that he had been somet in modern to establish quemonth
	for default andgement, For attorney Polly to attempt to instructe
	now that he was somehow served prior to this mould be
	Centromely unbelievable as well as establishing the warumption
	That attorney Polly has "inclean hands"
<u>*</u>	The fact that I filed complaints on the 15 th of Jan. 2003 gow
	TROWN, 2003 is breelevant, party is uncre not somed with 6-28-253
	Juney the courts choice not to sexue, you the Ill amonded compliaint
	In there, perogative . It was filed within the 21 day rule, there
	atterney loky is out of bounds, got crowned the our in any
<u>,</u>	to thike Foul Hours
k	Delusional, he in eviour asserts the news complaint does not
	Delusional, he in everous asserts the news complaint does not
	arout new dainer against spence, when dearder or 49-19 of the
	The compount known is nowell of allite to protect dain
	Based on deliberate Indefference souvands Plaintiff Inductional
	Based on daliberate Indifference wowards Plaintiff Individualized  Kush pursuant 25 43 11,50, 1983, Her Them accused of the samething
	Tording the poncy index counts the along with the originals
	Retaliation claim count VIII, Polly sien States it appears
	10

,	
	79-2063
,	that I assert no additional chim is complete assining
	Line 201 Amongh, 20% clearly states lost Spener, and with
:	god while the attack was occurring keeps walking and respects.
	to get involved, which is when he is exam being accorded
	of deliberate indifference of my salety and the beliefer of
10 1	Preisonorts as well. This is not futels
	attornous polly in orocor again asserts falsely my dains
*	
	date back to 2001 which they do not, Limer 4 am 5 of
	The III complaint clearly unequivocally, marchiqueinly,
	States Plaintiff & claims for this soit will four on
	Events from Odober 2002 on up (see Line 4)
- W.	Om line 5 clearly states that in order to give all parties
	including the "Public" an overall oversion of hour all party is
	are involved d'el start from the beginning, all claims before,
	Oct 2002 simply give the courts an understanding of why
Gull phone and a second	the Box of T.N. T.DOC. Commissioner and assist THX Commissioner, or
	well of Core Civic an fan Hinniger we bliverately involved an
	Priory told accountable and harronable, due to the being aware
:	an failing to rake action to provent whimately what occurred
	to me, the plaintiff, this was needed in order the Judge Eli
· •	Richardson could understand unky all parties are being sweets
*	I felt it was receiled of mes to be very specific banking me
	noon for misenderslanding
!	"Privar officials have a duty to protect presones from widence
:	suffered at the hands of other weiserons' Bollis V Come Corp. of Arr. 251
;	F31 508, 512 (5th Cir. 2.901)
	11 m M about who wellilis at my chains
	attorney Policy challenges the oreditality of my claims
	in proces this is not a trial an the fuelge is not the
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Dory "a complaint should not be dismissed for failure so
· į	state a claim unless it appeares beyond doubt that the plaintiff
	Ten yruve me see of factor in suggest to as my water,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Haymen V. Kermers, 404 U.S. SIG STEED. 92 S. G. 594
,	III

	Occorney Polly some for take or make several ottacks
	a de distant or exeller demino de
	error con civia carment be hold liable", I do not collaterally
11	the borne of the same through the MONDO TOTAL TO SOUTH
	Theory of Sistility going back to outdated atalians in 1978
£214	I attack one civic alleging (1) an official prolicy or
: ( )	auston of com Civic; (2) Muchich coused a violation of my
<u>.</u>	Tederal Rights ; and (3) which "was enacted or recent wood
	with deliberate indifference to an about inevitable, "Sederal
	with dellieror unaugurante in an autor of Grant Concilion
gild.	reights to violation Son Schmeider V City of Grand Gunction
, see	Pola Cop4, 717 F. 31 760 (30th Cir. 2013)
N.	as far or thrust those threats were sted on in which
	I postered Physical an mental damage, there is emeliopitable
	Camera fortage that will Love Not doubt in any Jurye's
	monet.
WED VENEZIONE	The first of the control of the second secon
	an I would inge Spence's orthorny to except men
	arter milly accorded all offer secretarially the the
.′	the to do your chart, I say no mad to assured they
	man's correct only that he leaven his lesson however
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	a vætte valveske fessor
	TA WINGS
	No La
	12501 Montora Ave.
	CI 1060 11% 19738
11.00	
	1 4 W. F.
	the Carte of

Secret Lity Man

MALINAVALLE COMMENTAL INVITAL COMMENTS

.

SHULLE TION 37000 ECC

RECEIVED

JUL 272023

U.S. District Court
Middle District of TN

Case 3:23-cv-00081 Document 41 Filed (

Filed 07/27/23

Page 4 of 4 PageID #: 232